	1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 17-CR-475 (WFK)
4	: Plaintiff, : : United States Courthouse
5	-against- : Brooklyn, New York
6	DILSHOD KHUSANOV, : : Friday, January 5, 2018
7	Defendant. : 2:00 p.m.
8	
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF CRIMINAL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM F. KUNTZ, II
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	APPEARANCES:
13	For the Government: BRIDGET ROHDE, ESQ.
14	Acting United States Attorney Eastern District of New York
15	271 Cadman Plaza East Brooklyn, New York 11201
16	BY: ALEXANDER SOLOMON, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorney
17	For the Defendant: LAW OFFICES OF LEVITT & KAISER
18	40 Fulton Street 23rd Floor
19	New York, New York 10038 BY: RICHARD W. LEVITT, ESQ.
20	
21	O I D I DAVID D DOV DDD
22	Court Reporter: DAVID R. ROY, RPR 225 Cadman Plaza East
23	Brooklyn, New York 11201 drroyofcr@gmail.com
2425	Proceedings recorded by Stenographic machine shorthand, transcript produced by Computer-Assisted Transcription.

1 (In open court.)

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Criminal cause for status conference, Docket Number 17-CR-475, United States versus Khusanov.

Counsel, will you please state your appearances for the record. Spell your first and last names for the court reporter.

MR. SOLOMON: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Alex Solomon, A-L-E-X, S-O-L-O-M-O-N, for the Government.

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Solomon. Please be seated and remain seated for the balance of the conference.

 $\label{eq:mr.khusanov} \text{MR. LEVITT: For Mr. Khusanov, Richard Levitt,} \\ \text{R-I-C-H-A-R-D, L-E-V-I-T-T. Good afternoon, Your Honor.}$

THE COURT: Good afternoon, Counsel. Please be seated and remain seated for the balance of the conference.

This was to have been a status conference in this action scheduled for 11:00 a.m. today. I understand that the defendant who was transferred to this district from the Northern District of Illinois where he had been arrested on August 31st of 2017. I also understand that the defendant is still in custody, but I understand that he was not here this morning as scheduled at 11:00 a.m., and I will hear from the parties as to why that is or what it was and how we should proceed.

So I will hear from you first, Mr. Solomon. 1 2 MR. SOLOMON: Yes. Yes, Your Honor, it was my 3 responsibility. We neglected to make the proper 4 notification to the marshals, that is why he was not produced. I apologize to the Court and I apologize to 5 It will not happen again. 6 Mr. Levitt. 7 We have discussed with the courtroom deputy proceeding forward, Monday at 11:30. I understand that time 8 9 works for Mr. Levitt, and that is how we would propose to 10 proceed. 11 THE COURT: Okay. And that was an application 12 that you made pursuant to 475; is that right? 13 MR. SOLOMON: Correct, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. I will hear from defense counsel, and then we ought to make sure that we can exclude 15 16 time in the interest of justice even though the defendant is 17 If that is acceptable to both sides and if not present. 18 defense counsel is comfortable signing off on that proposed 19 order. 20 So I will hear from defense counsel on this. 21 MR. LEVITT: Yes, that is all fine, Your Honor. 22 I have one other matter to raise, either now 23 or later. 24 THE COURT: You can raise it now. Just use the

25

microphone --

1 MR. LEVITT: Sure.

THE COURT: -- throughout the conference. You are a good taxpayer. You paid for it, and we have a wonderful system, so go right ahead, sir.

MR. LEVITT: Okay. We had also filed a bail application, Your Honor. I am not sure whether you --

THE COURT: I have read it.

MR. LEVITT: Okay.

THE COURT: I have considered it. I have no life. I was here all last week during the storm. That is who I am and what I do.

Go ahead.

MR. LEVITT: Fair enough.

We would like to address the bail application, if it is convenient to the Court, on Monday. We do not intend to have the sureties here because they are in Illinois, but at least to determine whether or not in principal, the Court would or would not admit Mr. Khusanov the bail. If it would, then obviously, we can make all the suretors available.

THE COURT: All right. Well, without responding to that particular point, I am going to ask Mr. Solomon if he has an initial response, first of all, with respect to excluding time. Are you comfortable having counsel sign off on that?

MR. SOLOMON: I believe it would be permissible given that that is an outstanding defense motion under the rule that we could exclude time from now until Monday.

THE COURT: All right. On the basis of that, I will exclude time in the interest of justice.

And secondly, with respect to the question of bail modification, obviously, the sureties can address the question of money. But I am going to ask you, Mr. Solomon, and I will ask defense counsel as well, is there anything different on the issue of security given the nature of the charges that this defendant has been indicted on by probable cause standard by a grand jury; do you have a change of view of the Government with respect to his dangerousness or risk of flight?

MR. SOLOMON: We do not, Your Honor. We have outlined our views as to why this defendant should be detained pretrial on the letter that was filed on ECF. We can provide that to the Court if the Court wishes to see it.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, I am going to ask whether there has been any change since -- have you filed something since you received the letter from defense counsel?

MR. SOLOMON: We have not. We can prepare something over the weekend. We have had a chance to follow up a little bit on the proposed sureties, and we have issues with a number of them. We can address that in a

supplemental letter.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All right. I had a sense that you THE COURT: And because, as I said, although I have no life, I do occasionally miss supplemental filings, but I kept an eye out for a filing in response to the defense's very well written and very extensive letter, and I didn't see one. So I just wanted to make sure that it was not, as we say in the hood, my bad in not seeing it. But it was, perhaps, your bad or, perhaps, your neutral in not yet submitting it. So why don't I suggest that we do this: If we are going to address this on Monday, I would appreciate your filing the response on behalf of the Government to the defendant's communication and serving it on them by ECF, and that way the defense will have an opportunity to see your thinking with respect to it as well as the Court.

MR. SOLOMON: Sure.

THE COURT: And we will have a discussion about that on Monday.

Defense counsel, I cannot advise you of one way or the other with respect for the sureties not having seen the Government's response, but hearing that they do have a response and continue their objections, so if the sureties are here and they wish to testify and wish to state their positions, they will be here in a position to do it, obviously subject to being examined by prosecution and by

the Court. On the other hand if they are not here, I suspect you will hear from the prosecution, Well, they are not here, and if they are not here, I can't examine them. So, as I say, You get the big bucks as defense counsel, you have got to decide whether it makes sense to have them come or not come. But at least I have extracted from the Government their position, that they have not modified their view, and indeed, they are going to, perhaps, amplify it.

So with that bit of guidance, is there anything you want to tell the Court, or should we just get together on Monday at 11:30, and either the sureties are here or they are not here?

MR. LEVITT: The only thing I would ask, Your Honor, is that the Government provide us a letter with enough time prior to the court appearance so that I can at least be in touch with suretors who, again, are in the Northern District of Illinois.

THE COURT: Oh, but there are so many flights from Illinois and New York and weather is never a problem, and I get that.

No, here is the deal: If you think that it makes sense to have them here, you should have them here. If you think that you need more time to evaluate the letters since it is, if memory serves, still Friday and we are talking about having them -- having the hearing on the status

conference on Monday at 11:30, what can I tell you? 1 2 MR. LEVITT: No, I understand. I know the ball's 3 in my court here, and I think that what I might do, 4 depending upon when I get the letter and the issue --5 THE COURT: Well, let's stop right there. Can you advise defense counsel as to when you will 6 7 file the letter on ECF? 8 MR. SOLOMON: If we file it by tomorrow evening? 9 THE COURT: That would be Saturday night; is that 10 correct? 11 MR. SOLOMON: Yes. 12 THE COURT: Okay. So tomorrow evening. 13 gives you something on Saturday night. And then I guess you 14 could look at it Saturday night and Sunday, and then people 15 have to be here Monday at 11:30 if they are going to come. 16 Do you feel that it would be appropriate to seek more time 17 to schedule the status conference and exclude time in the 18 interest of justice to a later date, or should we just bull 19 ahead and you will make your decision with respect to 20 whether or not the sureties are here on Monday at 11:30? 21 What do you think, Counsel? 22 MR. LEVITT: One of the applications I might be 23 inclined to make to the Court, and let me just kind of 24 telegraph this so the Court would know because I have done

it in other cases, is rather than require all the sureties,

25

1 and I think we have six or seven of them, to come in, which 2 is an expensive thing for them, they have to miss work, they 3 have to have, you know, a flight, a round-trip flight at the 4 last minute which is also more expensive, what we have done in the past is have them appear in court in the 5 6 Northern District of Illinois --7 THE COURT: That won't fly with this judge. 8 MR. LEVITT: Can I ask another, Judge? 9 THE COURT: No. 10 MR. LEVITT: I understand. I figured that would be the answer. 11 12 THE COURT: It is the Hotel California, you can 13 check out anytime you want, but you can never leave. 14 that is not an option. 15 MR. LEVITT: Okay. Then probably what I would suggest is that we do defer the appearance to, perhaps, 16 17 towards the end of the week so that I can get the 18 Government's letter. I can speak with the sureties. I can 19 see whether or not they can come to New York, and --20 Well, I could make it easy and say THE COURT: 21 they have to come to New York, if that would obviate your 22 problem? 23 MR. LEVITT: Well, I don't -- you know, because we 24 obviously have a friendly relationship with the sureties, I

don't want to impose court orders on them if that --

25

1	THE COURT: No. I would be the one imposing the
2	court order.
3	MR. LEVITT: Right, no, I understand that, but I
4	would be the one explaining it.
5	So if I could, then I would suggest that we have
6	the appearance later in the week and that would give me the
7	opportunity to speak with the sureties, address whatever
8	concerns the Government expresses in its letter, and then
9	determine which sureties should be here on behalf of
10	Mr. Khusanov.
11	THE COURT: All right. Is that acceptable to the
12	Government?
13	MR. SOLOMON: It is. Notably, Your Honor, I will
14	be out of town on business at the end of the week, but there
15	are three other prosecutors in this case and
16	THE COURT: Oh, no, I want to do it when you can
17	be here, sir, because I just think that continuity is a
18	great thing. So should we look to the following week? Does
19	that work for you, or are you going to be away for more than
20	the end of next week?
21	MR. SOLOMON: So I am out next Thursday and the
22	following week. It's a different
23	THE COURT: The entire following week?
24	MR. SOLOMON: The entire.
25	THE COURT: All right. Well, then why don't we

1 | say, how does Wednesday look for both counsel?

MR. SOLOMON: That is fine for this counsel.

THE COURT: Let me hear from defense counsel. Is that enough time to get the letter tomorrow -- shall we say by 5:00 p.m. New York time --

MR. SOLOMON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: -- 5:00 p.m. New York time on ECF?

And then we will set the adjourned status conference for Wednesday. Does that work for you?

MR. LEVITT: Yes, it does, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. What time should we set it for on Wednesday knowing that perhaps the sureties will be here, perhaps they won't, but at least they will know what they are shooting for in terms of time?

MR. LEVITT: May I suggest 2:00 o'clock,

16 Your Honor?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

17 THE COURT: 2:00 o'clock. Does that work,

18 | Mr. Jackson?

MR. SOLOMON: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Yes, Judge.

MR. SOLOMON: I'm sorry. I heard --

THE COURT: That's all right. I just want to make sure with Mr. Jackson that we have time and availability.

And now, Mr. Solomon, does that work for you?

Official Court Reporter

MR. SOLOMON: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Well, then we have peace in our time. 2:00 o'clock on Wednesday.

Mr. Jackson will now give you the form excluding time in the interest of justice and ask counsel to sign off and sign off on behalf of the defendant as well in light of the discussion we just had.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: And defense counsel, if you would also sign on the line for the defendant, it indicates that you are signing on his behalf? I would appreciate that --

MR. LEVITT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- if that is appropriate.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Thank you.

The order is signed, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I have what has been marked as Court Exhibit 1 for identification, the Waiver of Speedy Trial and Order of Excludable Delay excluding time in the interest of justice from today's date, January 5th of 2018 to and including January 10th of 2018. It has been signed by the prosecution, by defense counsel, and on behalf of the defendant by defense counsel.

I am signing it. And may I have a motion to have Court's 1 admitted into evidence, please.

MR. SOLOMON: The Government so moves, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Any objection?
MR. LEVITT: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. It's admit.
(Court's Exhibit Number 1 so marked and received
in evidence.)
THE COURT: One other thing, I understand from my
courtroom deputy that perhaps we may be able going forward
to dispense with the Uzbek interpreter in this case. But I
would respectfully suggest that perhaps that is such of
significance that we have any representation with respect to
that both in the interest of the Court and of the Government
and of the defendant on the record with an Uzbek interpreter
present at the next session, so that if, in fact, we can
dispense of the Uzbek interpreter, we do that on the record
where the defendant acknowledges that there is no need to
have a Uzbek interpreter.
Is that an acceptable way to proceed? I am just
reluctant to do it without the presence of the defendant.
MR. LEVITT: That is acceptable to us, Your Honor.
MR. SOLOMON: And to the Government?
THE COURT: Okay. That is fine.
So, Mr. Jackson, you will arrange to have the
Uzbek interpreter here for the next status conference?
THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Absolutely.

	Proceedings 14
	Proceedings 14
1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
2	All right. Admitted into evidence is
3	Court Exhibit 1 without objection.
4	Is there anything else that we need to address
5	today.
6	MR. SOLOMON: Nothing further from the Government,
7	Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right.
9	MR. SOLOMON: Thank you.
10	MR. LEVITT: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Thank you. We are adjourned and stay
12	warm and Happy New Year, gentlemen.
13	(Matter adjourned.)
14	-00000-
15	
16	INDEX
17	EXHIBITS
18	Court's Page 13
19	
20	
21	I (we) certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
22	
23	<u>/s/ David R. Roy</u> January 29, 2018 DAVID R. ROY Date
24	
25	